The Role of Dental Implants in the Management of Dento-Alveolar Trauma Part 1

Abstract: Patients who suffer dento-alveolar trauma present a unique challenge for the dentist. There are numerous options to consider when attempting to restore the dentition. This article reviews the role of dental implants in replacing lost or damaged teeth. It also describes some of the options available to maintain the alveolar bone between tooth removal and implant placement, as well as techniques used to recreate the deficient hard and soft tissue after a tooth has been avulsed or extracted.

Clinical Relevance: Knowledge of the role of dental implants and techniques for the preservation of the hard and soft tissues will assist the clinician to reduce patient morbidity and achieve an optimal restorative result.
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the teeth. If a tooth is extracted or lost, a number of alterations will occur. Cardaropoli et al. described the events that take place within the socket after a tooth is removed. Blood clot formation occurs in the first 24 hours. This is replaced by granulation tissue in the following 2–3 days while the epithelium starts to cover the entrance of the socket. After approximately 7 days, the granulation tissue is replaced with connective tissue and osteoid, which is a precursor to bone. Within 3 weeks, there are signs of osteoid mineralization as immature woven bone is laid down. The entire socket entrance should be epithelialized at this point. After 6 weeks, bone formation within the socket is more pronounced and further mature bone with trabeculae can be seen.

During this period, whilst the extraction socket is filling with new bone, the remaining alveolus undergoes remodelling. Reduction in ridge width (Figure 2) of 29–63% and height loss of 11–22% has been reported in a recent systematic review. Fifty percent of ridge reduction will occur within the first year after extraction, of which 30% occurs within the first 3 months.

**Bundle bone theory**

Although the above describes what happens within the extraction socket, it does not illustrate all the processes that occur.

Bundle bone is a thin cortical plate lining the alveolar socket, which on a radiograph is referred to as lamina dura. It is approximately 0.8 mm thick and does not receive the same type of blood supply as trabecular (spongy) bone. Within the jaws, the bundle bone is very much dependent on the periodontium for its blood supply in assisting bone maintenance and turnover. When a tooth is lost, the periodontium is severed and eventually eliminated with collateral loss of the dependent bundle bone. This seems to have a significant impact on the bone availability when placing implants, particularly in the anterior maxilla. Araújo and Lindhe studied the alterations in the edentulous ridge profile that occurs following tooth extraction in dogs. They found that, although the lingual wall remained relatively unchanged, the buccal wall margin moved more apically by 1.8 mm ± 0.2 mm after 8 weeks.

This may be due to the fact that the lingual wall is considerably thicker than the buccal wall. Indeed, the buccal/labial wall in the anterior maxilla may be composed entirely of bundle bone (Figure 3), which would have significant consequences when planning to replace the tooth with a dental implant.

Previously, it was believed that the placement of an implant immediately after extraction maintained the bone and soft tissue volume. Araújo and colleagues compared extraction sites against extraction with immediate implant sites. The resulting buccal and lingual walls were found to be similar at the edentulous and implant sites, with more pronounced bone loss at the buccal aspect. Human studies have demonstrated significant buccal ridge resorption when implants are placed immediately after extraction, with figures ranging from 30–56%. Immediate implant placement is a more technique-sensitive procedure, with potentially compromised long-term aesthetic outcomes.

A recent study, measuring labial bone thickness in 125 subjects, reported that, in 90% of cases, the labial bone was either thin or missing, while only 10% of anterior teeth had labial bone...
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thickness greater than 1 mm. This finding is significant, as Ferrus et al demonstrated that sockets with labial wall thickness < 1 mm resulted in 43% width reduction in comparison to only 21% reduction if the labial wall thickness was > 1 mm.

As mentioned, the post extraction remodelling processes that occur will result in an overall reduction in alveolar ridge width and height. There will also be a lingual or palatal shift in the centre of the ridge (Figure 4), or it may result in complete loss of the alveolar process following tooth loss. This is true for both single and multiple tooth loss and is particularly severe if part or all of the labial alveolar bone is missing at the time of tooth loss.

Alveolar ridge preservation

If, after trauma, the patient is too young or there are other factors (eg pathology, financial constraints) that preclude early implant placement, there are a number of strategies that a clinician should consider to minimize alveolar ridge resorption and thus facilitate dental implant placement at a later stage. Without such intervention, resorption of the alveolar ridge is inevitable and it may be severe enough to preclude implant placement, unless invasive block bone grafting is carried out to reconstruct the ridge morphology.

Therefore, as far as the site-specific management strategies are concerned, one should consider the following options:
- Decoronate and bury the root;
- Extraction and alveolar ridge preservation.

Decoronate and bury the root

The preserved root will maintain the periodontium and thus sustain an intact blood supply for the bundle bone. This will prevent the alveolar ridge remodelling as described above and maintain the alveolar ridge width and height. This option may not be appropriate if certain types of tooth-associated pathology are present. Root canal disinfection and obturation may be considered prior to burying teeth with pulpal pathology, whereas roots with vital pulp do not necessarily require endodontic treatment. The socket opening should be surgically covered with a free gingival graft or a recently introduced soft tissue matrix graft (eg Geistlich Mucograft) as the epithelium is unlikely to cover the retained root completely (Figure 5 a–f).

When the patient is old enough or contra-indications to implant placement have been addressed, the root can be extracted and a dental implant placed in the preserved site. This option also has the added benefit of allowing appropriate time for adequate treatment planning.

Extraction and alveolar ridge preservation

If the tooth has been avulsed and lost or it is felt that the remaining root is not viable for burying (Figure 6), the clinician may perform one of a range of ridge preservation techniques.

Essentially, this involves packing the extraction socket with a bone graft material. These bone graft substitutes can be animal derived (xenografts); human-derived (allografts); or synthetic (alloplastic) graft materials and are designed to encourage host bone formation through Guided Bone Regeneration (GBR).

Guided Bone Regeneration has its basis in the principles of Guided Tissue Regeneration (GTR). GTR was initially developed to treat lost periodontal tissues as a result of periodontitis. It was believed that by preventing the gingival epithelial and connective tissues from entering a treated defect through the use of cell occlusive membranes, the non-excluded cells with potential for periodontal regeneration would be allowed to proliferate in the defect and regenerate the lost tissues.

GBR follows a similar process, with the use of membranes which prevent the rapid migration of soft tissues that can hinder and prevent osteogenesis, but differs in that there is no associated tooth at the treated site.

Graft materials are used in conjunction with membranes and range in their reported abilities to maintain and encourage bone formation. The ideal grafting material should include some, if not all, of the following properties:
- Osteogenesis – new bone is formed by cells contained within the graft material;
- Osteoconductivity – the graft may not contribute to new bone formation but acts...
as a scaffold for bone formation by host tissue;
- Osteoinductivity – the graft helps to induce the host tissues in the surrounding area to form new bone.

Apart from autografts (patient’s own bone), most of the bone substitutes are primarily osteoconductive. The bone substitutes are generally used in combination with barrier membranes to exclude soft tissue infiltration from the periosteum into the bone graft, with resultant resorption and fibrous encapsulation. The most commonly used membranes are collagen-derived from animals, e.g. Bio-Gide (Figure 7 a–d), synthetic membranes, e.g. Cytoplast or MembraGel (Figure 8 a–e) or allograft, e.g. Alloderm, that cover the graft material and stabilize the site while minimizing the resorption of the bone substitute.

Although these methods are used to encourage favourable bone growth and minimize alveolar ridge resorption,15 (Figures 9 and 10), that occurs following tooth removal, there is inconclusive evidence supporting the efficacy of such techniques, and the quality of regenerated bone is particularly debated.16

Severe trauma

Cases of severe trauma may result in extensive loss of hard and soft tissues in both the vertical and horizontal planes, thereby necessitating more invasive augmentation procedures to reconstruct the lost alveolar ridge architecture to facilitate implant placement. Such patients should be informed of the difficulties involved under such circumstances prior to any treatment so that unrealistic expectations are avoided.

The most commonly used technique involves block bone grafts. Other techniques involving distraction osteogenesis, titanium-mesh grafting, etc can also be used in certain selected cases.

Autogenous block bone grafts

Autogenous block grafts are generally used in these situations and can be harvested from intra- or extra-oral locations, depending on the size of the
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Figure 9. (a) Cone-beam CT scan after 37 months showing radio-opaque outline of the grafted bone material (arrowed), which has resulted in maintenance of ridge width of patient in Figure 7. (b) Ridge width at implant surgery. (c) Even though a dehiscence is encountered, implant placement was possible with simultaneous GBR, thereby preventing invasive block bone graft. (d) Final aesthetic result.

Defect being treated.

Intra-oral locations are used for smaller ridge deficiencies (Figure 11a) and are generally harvested from the retromolar area or the anterior mandible. Extra-oral locations are used for more extensive grafting and are harvested from the iliac crest of the hip bones. The grafts are removed as blocks which are held in position with fixation screws (Figure 11b). The block graft can be supplemented with other graft material or cancellous bone particles to fill voids (Figure 11c).

Careful case selection should be a priority given the substantially increased morbidity involved with block graft use. Implants are then generally placed between 4–6 months after bone placement to allow ample time for graft integration before excessive resorption has occurred (Figure 11d–f).

Figure 10. (a) Cone-beam CT scan after 14 months showing maintenance of ridge width of patient in Figure 8 (arrowed). The outline of the radio-opaque bone graft can be seen. As buccal bone at the time of extraction was missing, complete collapse of the ridge could be expected. (b) Ridge width at implant surgery. (c) Ridge on raising flap showing complete integration of the graft material. (d) Fenestration encountered during implant placement was managed with simultaneous GBR, thereby preventing invasive block bone graft. (e) Final aesthetic result.

Cadaveric block bone grafts

The use of processed cadaveric cortico-cancellous bone blocks can also be successfully used (Figures 12a–c, 13a–d) and has the advantage of precluding donor site morbidity, but there will always be concerns about the source of the tissue, especially given relatively recent funeral home scandals in the United States. Tissue banks should have appropriate accreditation and registration before clinicians consider using their products. There is also the perceived risk of contracting a disease with the use of such graft material, though to date there has not been a reported case of disease transmission in the literature. Nonetheless,
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Tissue Transplantation Services constantly review and update their guidance on human derived products (http://www.transfusionguidelines.org.uk) and should the clinician should ensure that the patient is counselled and an informed, written consent is obtained. In the United Kingdom, the UK Blood Transfusion and

---

**Figure 11.** (a) Cone Beam CT scan demonstrating significant alveolar ridge atrophy. (b) Severe hard tissue deficiency evident on reflection of flap. (c) Block bone graft held in position with fixture screw. (d) Graft, supplemented with deproteinized bovine bone mineral, after 6 months’ healing. (e) Implant placed in grafted site. (f) Final restorative outcome.

**Figure 12.** (a) Extensive alveolar ridge width deficiency requiring a large bone block graft. (b) A cortico-cancellous allograft was used which required adaptation to follow the shape of the maxillary ridge. (c) A well-integrated bone block at 4 months, ready for implant placement.

**Figure 13.** (a) Severe ridge deficiency after traumatic loss of teeth. (b) Horizontal and vertical bone loss seen after flap elevation. (c) Cortico-cancellous allograft block. (d) 3-dimensional augmentation with cortico-cancellous allograft block in place. Such volume of bone could have otherwise only been available from an extra-oral site.
be contacted prior to use of such bio-
materials.

In particular, large size
grafts or multiple implant sites can be
easily managed with allografts rather
than having to rely on harvesting from
the iliac crest of the patient. Iliac crest
surgery increases patient morbidity, such
as gait problems, nerve damage and
increased recovery time, risks from general
anaesthesia and costs to the NHS or the
patient.

Distraction osteogenesis

Distraction osteogenesis
has also been successfully used in the
recreation of alveolar ridge deficiencies
following severe trauma. Originally a
chance finding by Ilizarov and Ledyaev19
in long bones, the technique has been
used in the oral environment.20 Distraction
osteogenesis involves the creation of a
break within the treated bone followed
by the use of distractors that maintain a
gap between the two component parts
and elongate the fractured callus. The
stress and tension causes an increase in
metabolic activity and increased cellular
proliferation similar to endochondral
ossification.

Distraction osteogenesis
decreases the need for large bone
grafts, the associated morbidity and the
potential for infection that may occur. The
patient must comply with the distraction
schedule, while older patients may have a
decrease in the number of mesenchymal
stem cells which might impair bone
healing at the treated site. The technique
has an added benefit of stretching the
soft tissue and therefore avoiding the
need for soft tissue grafting. Distraction is
mainly employed in augmenting the ridge
in a vertical direction and a secondary
bone grafting procedure for horizontal
augmentation is often necessary. Figure
14 (a–e) illustrates a case of severe vertical
anterior maxillary loss after a road traffic
accident which was successfully managed
with a multidisciplinary approach and
utilizing distraction osteogenesis.

It should be remembered
that, despite the use of these techniques,
further grafting may be necessary at
the time of implant placement with a
combination of particulate autogenous and
Figure 14. (a) Pre-operative photo showing
loss of three maxillary incisors along with part
of the anterior maxilla and intrusion of UR3
and UL2 due to severe road-traffic accident. (b)
Placement of distractor after osteotomy cuts
to allow movement of ‘coronal’ fragment. (c)
Pre-distraction radiograph. (d) Post-distraction
radiograph. (e) Post-distraction healing.
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xenografts, as can be seen in Figure 15 (a−d).

Occasionally, soft tissue deficiency may be encountered and connective tissue grafts or free gingival grafts may be necessary to improve the soft tissue profile of the site. These grafts are harvested from the palate and therefore require a second surgical site. Geistlich Mucograft, a porcine-derived collagen matrix, has become available as a substitute and therefore would aid in reducing patient morbidity, as a donor site surgery is not needed. This will be covered in Part 2 of this short series.

In certain clinical situations, it may not be possible to regain the hard and soft tissues that have been lost after a traumatic event completely. In such instances, a compromised aesthetic outcome should be expected. The final aesthetic results may possibly be enhanced with the use of pink-coloured porcelains or composites, which are used to mimic the lost tissues (Figure 16a−c).

Conclusion

This article describes the events following loss of a tooth and has covered some of the preparatory phases involved prior to the placement of dental implants. Various strategies that should be considered after traumatic loss of teeth have been described. These stages should not be overlooked in an effort to expedite definitive treatment as they may impact significantly on the final outcome. The second part of this series will cover the implant treatment in detail.
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Figure 15. (a) Implant placement with dehiscence. (b) Correct 3-D implant position despite alveolar width deficiency. (c) Additional sGBR. (d) Provisional 3-unit bridge. A significant reconstruction of the hard and soft tissue has been achieved.

Figure 16. (a) Patient suffered a dento-alveolar fracture resulting in loss of four incisors and part of the anterior maxilla. (b) Two implants with sGBR were placed in lateral incisor sites to retain a four-unit bridge with gingiva-coloured porcelain. (c) Acceptable aesthetic outcome in a patient with a low smile line.


